Bioconductor's SPIA package

Adi L. Tarca^{1,2,3}, Purvesh Khatri¹ and Sorin Draghici¹

May 2, 2019

¹Department of Computer Science, Wayne State University

²Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Unit of the NIH Perinatology Research Branch ³Center for Molecular Medicine and Genetics, Wayne State University

1 Overview

This package implements the Signaling Pathway Impact Analysis (SPIA) algorithm described in Tarca et al. (2009), Khatri et al. (2007) and Draghici et al. (2007). SPIA uses the information from a set of differentially expressed genes and their fold changes, as well as pathways topology in order to assess the significance of the pathways in the condition under the study. The current version of SPIA algorithm includes out-of-date KEGG signaling pathway data for hsa and mmu organisms for illustration purposes. However, the current version of the package includes functionality to generate the required up-to-date processed pathway data from KEGG xml (KGML) files that licensed users can download for the organism of interest from KEGG's ftp site. Also, these files can be downloaded individually using the Dowload KEGML button from each pathway's web page. The pathways that will be processed and analyzed for a given organism are those i) containing at least one relation between genes/proteins considered by SPIA, and ii) having no reactions.

The outdated KEGG data that was preprocessed for SPIA analysis and is included for the hsa and mmu organisms was downloaded from KEGG's website on: 09/07/2012. For a list of changes in SPIA compared to previous versions see the last section in this document.

2 Pathway analysis with SPIA package

This document provides basic introduction on how to use the SPIA package. For extended description of the methods used by this package please consult these references: Tarca et al. (2009); Khatri et al. (2007); Draghici et al. (2007).

We demonstrate the functionality of this package using a colorectal cancer dataset obtained using Affymetrix GeneChip technology and available through GEO (GSE4107). The experiment contains 10 normal samples and 12 colorectal cancer samples and is described by Hong et al. (2007). RMA preprocessing of the raw data was performed using the **affy** package, and a two group moderated t-test was applied using the **limma** package. The data frame obtained as an end result from the function topTable in limma is used as starting point for preparing the input data for SPIA. This

data frame called top was made available in the colorectalcancer dataset included in the SPIA package:

```
> library(SPIA)
> data(colorectalcancer)
> options(digits=3)
> head(top)
```

	ID	logFC	AveExpr	t	P.Value	adj.P.Val	В	ENTREZ
10738	201289_at	5.96	6.23	23.9	1.79e-17	9.78e-13	25.4	3491
18604	209189_at	5.14	7.49	17.4	1.56e-14	2.84e-10	21.0	2353
11143	201694_s_at	4.15	7.04	16.5	5.15e-14	7.04e-10	20.1	1958
10490	201041_s_at	2.43	9.59	14.1	1.29e-12	1.41e-08	17.7	1843
10913	201464_x_at	1.53	8.22	11.0	1.69e-10	1.15e-06	13.6	3725
11463	202014_at	1.43	5.33	10.5	4.27e-10	2.42e-06	12.8	23645

For SPIA to work, we need a vector with log2 fold changes between the two groups for all the genes considered to be differentially expressed. The names of this vector must be Entrez gene IDs. The following lines will add one additional column in the top data frame annotating each affymetrix probeset to an Entrez ID. Since there may be several probesets for the same Entrez ID, there are two easy ways to obtain one log fold change per gene. The first option is to use the fold change of the most significant probeset for each gene, while the second option is to average the log fold-changes of all probestes of the same gene. In the example below we used the former approach. The genes in this example are called differentially expressed provided that their FDR adjusted p-values (q-values) are less than 0.05. The following lines start with the top data frame and produce two vectors that are required as input by spia function:

```
> library(hgu133plus2.db)
```

```
> x <- hgu133plus2ENTREZID
```

```
> top$ENTREZ<-unlist(as.list(x[top$ID]))</pre>
```

```
> top<-top[!is.na(top$ENTREZ),]</pre>
```

```
> top<-top[!duplicated(top$ENTREZ),]</pre>
```

```
> tg1<-top[top$adj.P.Val<0.1,]</pre>
```

> DE_Colorectal=tg1\$logFC

```
> names(DE_Colorectal)<-as.vector(tg1$ENTREZ)</pre>
```

> ALL_Colorectal=top\$ENTREZ

The DE_Colorectal is a vector containing the log2 fold changes of the genes found to be differentially expressed between cancer and normal samples, and ALL_Colorectal is a vector with the Entrez IDs of all genes profiled on the microarray. The names of the DE_Colorectal are the Entrez gene IDs corresponding to the computed log fold-changes.

> DE_Colorectal[1:10]

3491	2353	1958	1843	3725	23645	9510 84869	7432	1490
5.96	5.14	4.15	2.43	1.53	1.43	3.94 -1.15	4.72	3.45

```
> ALL_Colorectal[1:10]
[1] "3491" "2353" "1958" "1843" "3725" "23645" "9510" "84869" "7432"
[10] "1490"
```

The SPIA algorithm takes as input the two vectors above and produces a table of pathways ranked from the most to the least significant. This can be achieved by calling the **spia** function as follows:

```
> # pathway analysis based on combined evidence; # use nB=2000 or more for more accurate result
> res=spia(de=DE_Colorectal,all=ALL_Colorectal,organism="hsa",nB=2000,plots=FALSE,beta=NULL,con
> #make the output fit this screen
> res$Name=substr(res$Name,1,10)
> #show first 15 pathways, omit KEGG links
> res[1:20,-12]
```

	Name	ID	pSize	NDE	pNDE	tA	pPERT	pG	pGFdr
1	Focal adhe		174		-		-	2.24e-11	-
2	Alzheimer'	05010	144					2.30e-10	
3	ECM-recept	04512	72	41	5.17e-06	26.01	0.000005	6.56e-10	3.00e-08
4	Parkinson'		105	63	9.51e-10			1.22e-09	
5	Pathways i	05200	294	123	6.42e-05	67.52	0.009000	8.87e-06	2.06e-04
6	PPAR signa	03320	64	37	9.17e-06	-3.07	0.064000	9.01e-06	2.06e-04
7	Axon guida	04360	117	58	2.38e-05	12.75	0.130000	4.24e-05	8.30e-04
8	Huntington	05016	163	75	4.68e-05	-3.07	0.222000	1.30e-04	2.01e-03
9	MAPK signa	04010	239	103	6.16e-05	11.23	0.172000	1.32e-04	2.01e-03
10	Fc gamma R	04666	80	42	5.73e-05	-11.61	0.238000	1.66e-04	2.28e-03
11	Small cell	05222	73	33	8.05e-03	26.50	0.004000	3.65e-04	4.55e-03
12	Regulation	04810	189	83	1.43e-04	11.20	0.379000	5.87e-04	6.70e-03
13	Glutamater	04724	113	45	3.12e-02	-11.57	0.008000	2.32e-03	2.45e-02
14	Bacterial	05100	61	32	4.31e-04	2.63	0.837000	3.22e-03	3.15e-02
15	Pathogenic	05130	44	21	1.56e-02	17.49	0.026000	3.58e-03	3.27e-02
16	Wnt signal	04310	136	58	3.03e-03	-6.76	0.312000	7.52e-03	6.44e-02
17	Renal cell	05211	61	28	1.10e-02	-8.17	0.095000	8.20e-03	6.61e-02
18	ErbB signa	04012	76	34	8.74e-03	-17.85	0.159000	1.05e-02	7.52e-02
19	B cell rec	04662	70	32	7.36e-03	-10.04	0.195000	1.08e-02	7.52e-02
20	Transcript	05202	145	59	9.35e-03	-1.18	0.156000	1.10e-02	7.52e-02
	pGFWER	Statı	IS						
1	3.07e-09 Ac	ctivate	ed						
2	3.15e-08 Ir	nhibite	ed						
3	8.99e-08 Ac	ctivate	ed						
4	1.67e-07 Ir	nhibite	ed						
5	1.22e-03 Ac	ctivate	ed						
6	1.23e-03 Ir	nhibite	ed						
7	5.81e-03 Ac	ctivate	ed						
8	1.78e-02 Ir	nhibite	ed						
9	1.81e-02 Ac	ctivate	ed						

10	2.28e-02	Inhibited
11	5.00e-02	Activated
12	8.04e-02	Activated
13	3.18e-01	Inhibited
14	4.41e-01	Activated
15	4.91e-01	Activated
16	1.00e+00	Inhibited
17	1.00e+00	Inhibited
18	1.00e+00	Inhibited
19	1.00e+00	Inhibited
20	1.00e+00	Inhibited

If the plots argument is set to TRUE in the function call above, a plot like the one shown in Figure 1 is produced for each pathway on which there are differentially expressed genes. These plots are saved in a pdf file in the current directory.

An overall picture of the pathways significance according to both the over-representation evidence and perturbations based evidence can be obtained with the function plotP and shown in Figure 2. The Colorectal cancer pathway is shown in green.

In this plot, the horizontal axis represents the p-value (minus log of) corresponding to the probability of obtaining at least the observed number of genes (NDE) on the given pathway just by chance. The vertical axis represents the p-value (minus log of) corresponding to the probability of obtaining the observed total accumulation (tA) or more extreme on the given pathway just by chance. The computation of pPERT is described in Tarca et al. (2009). In Figure 2 each pathway is shown as a bullet point, and those significant at 5% (set by the threshold argument in plotP) after Bonferroni correction are shown in red.

The default method to combine pPERT and pNDE is Fisher's product method, as was described in Tarca et al. (2009).

Alternatively, the two types of evidence can be combined using a normal inversion method which gives smaller pG values when pPERT and pNDE are low simultaneously. This is in contrast with Fisher's method that may yield small pG values when only one of the two p-values is low. To use the normal inversion method, one can set the argument combine="norminv" when the spia function is called, or by recomputing pG values starting with a result data frame produced by spia function. This latter approach is illustrated below where a call is made to the function combfunc. SPIA algorithm is illustrated also using the Vessels dataset:

```
> data(Vessels)
```

> # pathway analysis based on combined evidence; # use nB=2000 or more for more accurate result

> res<-spia(de=DE_Vessels,all=ALL_Vessels,organism="hsa",nB=500,plots=FALSE,beta=NULL,verbose=E</p>

- > #make the output fit this screen
- > res\$Name=substr(res\$Name,1,10)
- > #show first 15 pathways, omit KEGG links

```
> res[1:15,-12]
```

 Name
 ID pSize
 NDE
 tA pPERT
 pG
 pGFdr pGFWER

 1
 Axon guida
 04360
 128
 12
 2.08e-04
 -6.724
 0.056
 0.000144
 0.0180
 0.0180





Figure 1: Perturbations plot for colorectal cancer pathway (KEGG ID hsa:05210) using the colorectalcancer dataset. The perturbation of all genes in the pathway are shown as a function of their initial log2 fold changes (left panel). Non DE genes are assigned 0 log2 fold-change. The null distribution of the net accumulated perturbations is also given (right panel). The observed net accumulation tA with the real data is shown as a red vertical line.

```
> plotP(res,threshold=0.05)
> points(I(-log(pPERT))~I(-log(pNDE)),data=res[res$ID=="05210",],col="green",pch=19,cex=1.5)
>
```




SPIA two-way evidence plot

Figure 2: SPIA evidence plot for the colorectal cancer dataset. Each pathway is represented by one dot. The pathways at the right of the red oblique line are significant after Bonferroni correction of the global p-values, pG, obtained by combining the pPERT and pNDE using Fisher's method. The pathways at the right of the blue oblique line are significant after a FDR correction of the global p-values, pG.

- > res\$pG=combfunc(res\$pNDE,res\$pPERT,combine="norminv")
- > res\$pGFdr=p.adjust(res\$pG,"fdr")
- > res\$pGFWER=p.adjust(res\$pG,"bonferroni")
- > plotP(res,threshold=0.05)
- > points(I(-log(pPERT))~I(-log(pNDE)),data=res[res\$ID=="05210",],col="green",pch=19,cex=1.5)

```
>
```



SPIA two-way evidence plot

Figure 3: SPIA evidence plot for the colorectal cancer dataset. Each pathway is represented by one dot. The pathways at the right of the red curve are significant after Bonferroni correction of the global p-values, pG, obtained by combining the pPERT and pNDE using the normal inversion method. The pathways at the right of the blue curve line are significant after a FDR correction of the global p-values, pG.

-	~			_						
2	Staphyloco		51		6.74e-05			0.000417		
3	Focal adhe		200					0.000463		
4	Regulation	04810	209	15	6.66e-04	7.828	0.116	0.000809	0.0253	0.1011
5	Rheumatoid	05323	89	10	1.59e-04	0.000	1.000	0.001547	0.0323	0.1933
6	Viral myoc	05416	69	8	5.76e-04	-1.666	0.276	0.001550	0.0323	0.1937
7	Intestinal	04672	46	7	2.34e-04	0.000	1.000	0.002193	0.0344	0.2741
8	Neuroactiv	04080	272	18	5.35e-04	-0.510	0.452	0.002255	0.0344	0.2819
9	HTLV-I inf	05166	258	18	2.84e-04	-0.246	0.944	0.002476	0.0344	0.3095
10	Antigen pr	04612	75	7	4.40e-03	1.492	0.092	0.003570	0.0446	0.4462
11	Leishmania	05140	68	8	5.22e-04	0.147	0.952	0.004274	0.0486	0.5342
12	Notch sign	04330	46	4	3.66e-02	7.432	0.016	0.004944	0.0515	0.6181
	Graft-vers		40	6	7.10e-04	0.000	1.000	0.005859	0.0563	0.7324
14	Complement	04610	67	7	2.33e-03	4.517	0.352	0.006636	0.0591	0.8295
	Type I dia		42	6	9.27e-04	0.000	1.000	0.007400	0.0591	0.9250
	Status									
1	Inhibited									
2	Activated									
3	Inhibited									
4	Activated									
5	Inhibited									
6	Inhibited									
7	Inhibited									
8	Inhibited									
9	Inhibited									
10	Activated									
	Activated									
	Activated									
	Inhibited									
	Activated									
	Inhibited									
10	THITPICED									

The pathway image as provided by KEGG having the differentially expressed genes highlighted in red can be obtained by pasting in a web browser the links available in the KEGGLINK column of the data frame produced by the function spia. For example,

> res[, "KEGGLINK"][20]

[1] "http://www.genome.jp/dbget-bin/show_pathway?hsa05330+3108+3109+3111+3113+3122"

is the link that would display the image of the 20th pathway in the res dataframe above. Note that the results for these datasets my differ from the ones described in Tarca et al. (2009) since a) the pathways database used herein was updated and b) the default beta values were changed. The directed adjacency matrices of the graphs describing the different types of relations between genes/proteins (such as activation or repression) used by SPIA are available in the extdata/hsaSPIA.RData file for the homo sapiens organism. The types of relations considered by SPIA and the default weight (beta coefficient) given to them are:

```
> cbind(beta)
```

	beta
activation	1
compound	0
binding/association	0
expression	1
inhibition	-1
activation_phosphorylation	1
phosphorylation	0
inhibition_phosphorylation	-1
inhibition_dephosphorylation	-1
dissociation	0
dephosphorylation	0
activation_dephosphorylation	1
state change	0
activation_indirect effect	1
inhibition_ubiquination	-1
ubiquination	0
expression_indirect effect	1
inhibition_indirect effect	-1
repression	-1
dissociation_phosphorylation	0
<pre>indirect effect_phosphorylation</pre>	0
activation_binding/association	1
indirect effect	0
activation_compound	1
activation_ubiquination	1

A 0 value for a given relation type results in discarding those type of relations from the analysis for all pathways. The default values of **beta** can changed by the user at any time by setting the **beta** argument of the **spia** function call.

The user has the ability to generate his own gene/protein relation data and put it in a list format as the one shown in the hsaSPIA.RData file. In this file, each pathway data is included in a list:

```
> load(file=paste(system.file("extdata/hsaSPIA.RData",package="SPIA")))
```

```
> names(path.info[["05210"]])
```

[1]	"activation"	"compound"
[3]	"binding/association"	"expression"
[5]	"inhibition"	"activation_phosphorylation"
[7]	"phosphorylation"	"inhibition_phosphorylation"
[9]	"inhibition_dephosphorylation"	"dissociation"
[11]	"dephosphorylation"	"activation_dephosphorylation"
[13]	"state change"	"activation_indirect effect"
[15]	"inhibition_ubiquination"	"ubiquination"
[17]	"expression_indirect effect"	"inhibition_indirect effect"
[19]	"repression"	"dissociation_phosphorylation"
[21]	"indirect effect_phosphorylation"	"activation_binding/association"
[23]	"indirect effect"	"activation_compound"
[25]	"activation_ubiquination"	"nodes"
[27]	"title"	"NumberOfReactions"

> path.info[["05210"]][["activation"]][25:35,30:40]

	5602	8312	8313	5900	387	5879	5880	5881	332	4609	595
369	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5894	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
673	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5599	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
5601	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
5602	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
8312	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8313	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
387	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5879	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

In the matrix above, only 0 and 1 values are allowed. 1 means the gene/protein given by the column has a relation of type "activation" with the gene/protein given by the row of the matrix. Using other R packages such as graph and Rgraphviz one can visualize the richness of gene/protein relations of each type in each pathway. Firstly we load the required packages and create a function that can be used to plot as a graph each type of relation of any pathway, as used by SPIA.

```
> library(graph)
```

```
> library(Rgraphviz)
```

```
> plotG<-function(B){</pre>
```

- + nnms<-NULL;colls<-NULL
- + mynodes<-colnames(B)
- + L<-list();
- + n<-dim(B)[1]
- + for (i in 1:n){

```
+ L[i]<-list(edges=rownames(B)[abs(B[,i])>0])
```

+ if(sum(B[,i]!=0)>0){

```
+ nnms<-c(nnms,paste(colnames(B)[i],rownames(B)[B[,i]!=0],sep="~"))
+ }
+ }
+ names(L)<-rownames(B)
+ g<-new("graphNEL",nodes=mynodes,edgeL=L,edgemode="directed")
+ plot(g)
+ }
>
```

We plot then the "activation" relations in the ErbB signaling pathway, based on the hsaSPIA data.

```
> plotG(path.info[["04012"]][["activation"]])
```




Figure 4: Display of the "activation" relations in the ErbB signaling pathway, based on the hsaSPIA data.

3 Parsing up-to-date KEGG xml files for use with SPIA

Here we assume that the user obtained the KGML (xml) files for all pathways of interest for a given organism from the KEGG ftp site (or downloaded them one by one from the KEGG web site). As an example we included four such files in the extdata/keggxml/hsa folder of the SPIA package installation to demontsrae how to parse these files and run SPIA on the resuting collection of pathways.

```
> mydir=system.file("extdata/keggxml/hsa",package="SPIA")
> dir(mydir)
[1] "hsa03013.xml" "hsa03050.xml" "hsa04914.xml" "hsa05210.xml"
> makeSPIAdata(kgml.path=mydir,organism="hsa",out.path="./")
[1] TRUE
> res<-spia(de=DE_Colorectal, all=ALL_Colorectal, organism="hsa",data.dir="./")
Done pathway 1 : RNA transport..
Done pathway 2 : Progesterone-mediated oocyte m..
Done pathway 3 : Colorectal cancer..
> res[,-12]
                                             ID pSize NDE
                                                             pNDE
                                                                    tA pPERT
                                     Name
1
                        Colorectal cancer 05210
                                                    57
                                                        23 0.0896 8.49 0.020
2 Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation 04914
                                                        29 0.1173 2.36 0.596
                                                    76
3
                            RNA transport 03013
                                                   133 30 0.9894 0.00 1.000
      pG pGFdr pGFWER
                          Status
1 0.0131 0.0394 0.0394 Activated
2 0.2560 0.3840 0.7679 Activated
3 0.9999 0.9999 1.0000 Inhibited
```

For more details on how to use the main function in this package use "?spia". A commercial version of SPIA called PathwayGuide that includes additional capabilites in terms of visualisation, speed and and user interface is available from http://www.advaitabio.com/.

4 Changes in SPIA 2.10 vs 2.9

The current version (2.10) contains the following changes compared to the previous version (2.9): A function makeSPIAdata was added that generates xxxSPIA.RData files from KGML (xml) files provided by the user. The package will not contain anymore up-to-date KEGG pathway data since the access to the KEGG ftp server requires a license.

References

- S. Draghici, P. Khatri, A. Tarca, K. Amin, A. Done, C. Voichita, C. Georgescu, and R. Romero. A systems biology approach for pathway level analysis. *Genome Research*, 17, 2007.
- Y. Hong, K. S. Ho, K. W. Eu, and P. Y. Cheah. A susceptibility gene set for early onset colorectal cancer that integrates diverse signaling pathways: implication for tumorigenesis. *Clin Cancer Res*, 13(4):1107–14, 2007.
- P. Khatri, S. Draghici, A. L. Tarca, S. S. Hassan, and R. Romero. A system biology approach for the steady-state analysis of gene signaling networks. In 12th Iberoamerican Congress on Pattern Recognition, Valparaiso, Chile, November 13-16 2007.
- A. L. Tarca, S. Draghici, P. Khatri, S. Hassan, P. Mital, J. Kim, C. Kim, J. P. Kusanovic, and R. Romero. A signaling pathway impact analysis for microarray experiments. *Bioinformatics*, 25:75–82, 2009.