

# ontoProc: RDF ontology processing for Bioconductor

*Vincent J. Carey, stvjc at channing.harvard.edu*

October 30, 2018

## Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	2
2	Application to cell type hierarchy . . . . .	2
2.1	An enumeration of cell types . . . . .	2
2.2	Basic operations using ontologyIndex facilities . . . . .	3
3	Application: finding genes annotated to neuron subtypes . . . . .	4
3.1	Bridging from Cell Ontology to mouse genes . . . . .	5
3.2	Discrimination of neuron types: exploratory multivariate analysis . . . . .	5
4	Annotation of free text . . . . .	6

# 1 Introduction

The *ontoProc* package includes tools for

- programming with ontology snapshots that are distributed with the package
- annotating free text with ontology tags

Our primary objective is facilitating use of ontological metadata to simplify construction of formally annotated hierarchies of samples or features that should be traversed in analysis of complex genomic experiments.

The ontoProc package was developed to facilitate the coding of an ontology-driven visualizer of transcriptomic patterns in single-cell RNA-seq studies ([tenXplore](#)).




Figure 1: [dashsnap](#)

## 2 Application to cell type hierarchy

### 2.1 An enumeration of cell types

We used the [Experimental Factor Ontology](#) 'cell type' class ([EFO\\_0000324](#)) to obtain an enumeration of cell types. As of August 22 2017 it is an open question whether [Cell Ontology](#) or [Cellosaurus](#) should be used for this purpose. The author's subjective impression is that EFO has a simpler collection of terms for cell types, while Cell Ontology has a better collection of terms for types of neurons.

## 2.2 Basic operations using ontologyIndex facilities

This package ships with an R serialization of an OBO representation of the [Cell Ontology](#). This is created using `get_OBO` in [ontologyIndex](#). (For ontologies only available in OWL format, the python pronto package was used to convert to OBO.)

```
library(ontoProc)
cellOnto = getCellOnto()
cellOnto
## Ontology with 6546 terms
##
##
## Properties:
## id: character
## name: character
## parents: list
## children: list
## ancestors: list
## obsolete: logical
## Roots:
## GO:0008150 - biological_process
## GO:0005575 - cellular_component
## UBERON:0001062 - anatomical entity
## GO:0003674 - molecular_function
## BF0:0000002 - continuant
## PATO:0000001 - quality
## BF0:0000003 - occurrent
## NCBITaxon:1 - root
## PR:000018263 - amino acid chain
## PR:000021082 - bone marrow proteoglycan proteolytic cleavage product
## ... 113 more
```

At this time, elementary manipulations of the ontology involve collecting the children, siblings, or labels for given URIs.

```
cochil = children_TAG("CL:0000540", cellOnto)
cochil
## TermSet for 34 terms
## GABAergic neuron, adrenergic neuron, ..., spiral ganglion neuron, unipolar neuron
label_TAG("CL:0000540", cellOnto)
## CL:0000540
## "neuron"
siblings_TAG("CL:0000540", cellOnto)
## TermSet for 22 terms
## abnormal cell, basal cell of olfactory epithelium, ..., retinal cell, smooth muscle cell of the brain vasculature
```

### 3 Application: finding genes annotated to neuron subtypes

We focus on mouse. The neuron subtypes identified as OWL subclasses of “neuron” have names

```
cleanNames = function(tset) {
  slot(tset, "cleanFrame")$clean
}
cleanNames(cochil)
```

##	CL:0000617	CL:0000109
##	"GABAergic neuron"	"adrenergic neuron"
##	CL:0000526	CL:0010022
##	"afferent neuron"	"cardiac neuron"
##	CL:2000029	CL:0000108
##	"central nervous system neuron"	"cholinergic neuron"
##	CL:0000112	CL:0000678
##	"columnar neuron"	"commissural neuron"
##	CL:0000700	CL:0000527
##	"dopaminergic neuron"	"efferent neuron"
##	CL:0011100	CL:0000679
##	"galanergic neuron"	"glutamatergic neuron"
##	CL:1001509	CL:0011110
##	"glycinergic neuron"	"histaminergic neuron"
##	CL:0011109	CL:0000099
##	"hypocretin-secreting neuron"	"interneuron"
##	CL:1000606	CL:2000031
##	"kidney nerve cell"	"lateral line ganglion neuron"
##	CL:0000104	CL:0000029
##	"multipolar neuron"	"neuron neural crest derived"
##	CL:0000528	CL:00008025
##	"nitrgergic neuron"	"noradrenergic neuron"
##	CL:0000110	CL:2000032
##	"peptidergic neuron"	"peripheral nervous system neuron"
##	CL:0000111	CL:0000116
##	"peripheral neuron"	"pioneer neuron"
##	CL:0000102	CL:0000530
##	"polymodal neuron"	"primary neuron"
##	CL:0000105	CL:0000535
##	"pseudounipolar neuron"	"secondary neuron"
##	CL:0000379	CL:0000850
##	"sensory processing neuron"	"serotonergic neuron"
##	CL:0011113	CL:0000106
##	"spiral ganglion neuron"	"unipolar neuron"

We would like to see if the expression data would allow us to discriminate neurons of these different types.

### 3.1 Bridging from Cell Ontology to mouse genes

There is no formal linkage at present between terms of Cell Ontology and those of Gene Ontology. Research on inference of tissue of origin from expression signatures has led to accurate classifiers (Lee, Krishnan, Troyanskaya) and applications in cell mixture deconvolution (Houseman).

Formal work in ontology bridging has been described but the specific task of mapping from Cell Ontology terms to Gene Ontology terms has not culminated in any programmatically available resource.

We apply approximate pattern matching (agrep in R) to find gene ontology terms that are apparently relevant to cell type vocabulary terms of interest. These are then mapped to gene annotation. Simple (non-vectorized) functions that accomplish this in an organism-specific are straightforward using the OrgDb packages. We serialized all GO terms for convenience with this package, in the data object `allGOterms`.

```
data(allGOterms)
cellTypeToGO("serotonergic neuron", gotab=allGOterms)
##          GOID                                TERM
## 18623 GO:0036515          serotonergic neuron axon guidance
## 18625 GO:0036517 chemoattraction of serotonergic neuron axon
## 18627 GO:0036519 chemorepulsion of serotonergic neuron axon
cellTypeToGenes("serotonergic neuron", orgDb=org.Mm.eg.db, gotab=allGOterms)
## 'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns
##          GO EVIDENCE ONTOLOGY          ENSEMBL SYMBOL
## 1 GO:0036515      IMP      BP ENSMUSG00000007989  Fzd3
## 2 GO:0036515      IMP      BP ENSMUSG00000026556  Vangl2
## 3 GO:0036515      IMP      BP ENSMUSG00000023473  Celsr3
## 4 GO:0036515      IMP      BP ENSMUSG00000107269  Celsr3
## 5 GO:0036517      IDA      BP ENSMUSG00000021994  Wnt5a
cellTypeToGenes("serotonergic neuron", orgDb=org.Hs.eg.db, gotab=allGOterms)
## 'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns
##          GO EVIDENCE ONTOLOGY          ENSEMBL SYMBOL
## 1 GO:0036515      IEA      BP ENSG00000008300  CELSR3
## 2 GO:0036515      IEA      BP ENSG00000104290  FZD3
## 3 GO:0036515      IEA      BP ENSG00000162738  VANGL2
## 4 GO:0036517      IEA      BP ENSG00000114251  WNT5A
```

### 3.2 Discrimination of neuron types: exploratory multivariate analysis

At this point the API for selecting cell types, bridging to gene sets, and acquiring expression data, is not well-modularized. Thus the best ways to get a feel for it are to use `tenXplore()` function, and to read the source code. In brief, we often fail to find GO terms that approximately match, as strings, Cell Ontology terms corresponding to cell subtypes. On the other hand, if we match on cell types, we get very large numbers of matches, which, at this time, will need to be filtered to get manageable feature sets. We will introduce tools for generating additional RDF to improve gene harvesting in real time. But the associated statements will need to be curated. The EBI Webulous system should be useful for introducing new terms that facilitate better connections between anatomic structures and sets of genes or other genomic features.

## 4 Annotation of free text

The `humrna` data.frame supplied with the package is a small sample of metadata from NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA). The `study title` field has been serialized as `minicorpus`.

```
data(minicorpus)
head(minicorpus)
## [1] "P493-6 treated with KJ-Pyr-9 and/or Doxycycline"
## [2] "Enhanced MyoD-Induced Transdifferentiation to a Myogenic Lineage by Fusion to a Potent Transactivator"
## [3] "Osteosarcoma Genomics"
## [4] "Biochemical fractionation of HEK293 nuclei and RNA-seq of chromatin-associated and soluble-nuclear RNA"
## [5] "Transcriptomic variation of pharmacogenes in multiple human tissues and lymphoblastoid cell lines"
## [6] "Gene expression profiles in NORAD knockout and PUMILIO overexpressing cells"
```

There is a convention in text analysis of identifying *stop words* that are unlikely to be very useful for interpretation. The `dropStop` function tokenizes the study titles and eliminates stop words.

```
dropStop(head(minicorpus))
## [[1]]
## [1] "p493-6"      "treated"      "kj-pyr-9"      "and/or"      "doxycycline"
##
## [[2]]
## [1] "enhanced"      "myod-induced"      "transdifferentiation"
## [4] "myogenic"      "lineage"           "fusion"
## [7] "potent"        "transactivation"    "domain"
##
## [[3]]
## [1] "osteosarcoma" "genomics"
##
## [[4]]
## [1] "biochemical"      "fractionation"      "hek293"
## [4] "nuclei"           "rna-seq"           "chromatin-associated"
## [7] "soluble-nuclear"  "rna"
##
## [[5]]
## [1] "transcriptomic" "variation"          "pharmacogenes" "multiple"
## [5] "human"          "tissues"            "lymphoblastoid" "cell"
## [9] "lines"
##
## [[6]]
## [1] "gene"          "expression"          "profiles"      "norad"
## [5] "knockout"      "pumilio"             "overexpressing" "cells"
```

My hope is that EMBL BioSOLR will help index strings of this type with formal ontology terms. However, as a step in the general direction, we have the following examples.

```
library(ontoProc)
cs = getCellosaurusOnto()
ch = getChebiOnto()
minicorpus[1]
## [1] "P493-6 treated with KJ-Pyr-9 and/or Doxycycline"
```

## ontoProc: RDF ontology processing for Bioconductor

```
grep("P493", cs$name, value=TRUE, ignore.case=TRUE)
## CVCL_6783
## "P493-6"
grep("doxycycline", ch$name, value=TRUE, ignore.case=TRUE)
## named character(0)
```

Based on PMID 10956386, P493-6 is an EBV-EBNA1 positive cell line, but that is not revealed in our image of the ontology. Will available tools help us to automate the systematic mapping of study concepts? Or will manual curation be necessary?