Diagnostic plots for independent filtering

Richard Bourgon

 $25 \ {\rm October} \ 2009$

Contents

1	Introduction	1		
2	Data preparation	1		
3	Filtering volcano plot			
4	Rejection count plots 4.1 Across p-value cutoffs	3 3		
	4.2 Across filtering fractions	4		

1 Introduction

This vignette illustrates use of some functions in the *genefilter* package that provide useful diagnostics for independent filtering [1]:

- kappa_p and kappa_t
- filtered_p and filtered_R
- filter_volcano
- rejection_plot

2 Data preparation

Load the ALL data set and the *genefilter* package:

```
> library("genefilter")
> library("ALL")
> data("ALL")
```

Reduce to just two conditions, then take a small subset of arrays from these, with 3 arrays per condition:




Figure 1: Left panel: plot produced by the filter_volcano function. Right panel: graph of the kappa_t function.

We now use functions from genefilter to compute overall standard devation filter statistics as well as standard two-sample t and releated statistics.

```
> S <- rowSds( exprs( subsample ) )
> temp <- rowttests( subsample, subsample$mol.biol )
> d <- temp$dm
> p <- temp$p.value
> t <- temp$statistic</pre>
```

3 Filtering volcano plot

Filtering on overall standard deviation and then using a standard *t*-statistic induces a lower bound of fold change, albeit one which varies somewhat with the significance of the *t*-statistic. The filter_volcano function allows you to visualize this effect.

```
> S_cutoff <- quantile(S, .50)
> filter_volcano(d, p, S, n1, n2, alpha=.01, S_cutoff)
```

The output is shown in the left panel of Fig. 1.




Figure 2: Left panel: plot produced by the rejection_plot function. Right panel: graph of theta.

The kappa_p and kappa_t functions, used to make the volcano plot, compute the fold change bound multiplier as a function of either a *t*-test *p*-value or the *t*-statistic itself. The actual induced bound on the fold change is κ times the filter's cutoff on the overall standard deviation. Note that fold change bounds for values of |T| which are close to 0 are not of practical interest because we will not reject the null hypothesis with test statistics in this range.

The plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 1.

4 Rejection count plots

4.1 Across *p*-value cutoffs

The filtered_p function permits easy simulataneous calculation of unadjusted or adjusted p-values over a range of filtering thresholds (θ). Here, we return to the full "BCR/ABL" versus "NEG" data set, and compute adjusted p-values using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg, for a range of different filter stringencies.

```
> table(ALL_bcrneg$mol.biol)
BCR/ABL NEG
    37 42
> S2 <- rowVars(exprs(ALL_bcrneg))
> p2 <- rowttests(ALL_bcrneg, "mol.biol")$p.value
> theta <- seq(0, .5, .1)
> p_bh <- filtered_p(S2, p2, theta, method="BH")</pre>
```

> head(p_bh)

	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%
[1,]	0.9185626	0.8943104	0.8624798	0.8278077	NA	NA
[2,]	0.9585758	0.9460504	0.9304104	0.9059466	0.8874485	0.8709793
[3,]	0.7022442	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
[4,]	0.9806216	0.9747555	0.9680574	0.9567131	NA	NA
[5,]	0.9506087	0.9349386	0.9123998	0.8836386	NA	NA
[6,]	0.6339004	0.5896890	0.5440851	0.4951371	0.4497915	0.4102711

The rejection_plot function takes sets of p-values corresponding to different filtering choices — in the columns of a matrix or in a list — and shows how rejection count (R) relates to the choice of cutoff for the p-values. For these data, over a reasonable range of FDR cutoffs, increased filtering corresponds to increased rejections.

>	<pre>rejection_plot(p_bh, at="sample",</pre>
+	<pre>xlim=c(0,.3), ylim=c(0,1000),</pre>
+	main="Benjamini & Hochberg adjustment")

The plot is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2.

4.2 Across filtering fractions

If we select a fixed cutoff for the adjusted *p*-values, we can also look more closely at the relationship between the fraction of null hypotheses filtered and the total number of discoveries. The filtered_R function wraps filtered_p and just returns rejection counts. It requires a *p*-value cutoff.

> theta <- seq(0, .80, .01)
> R_BH <- filtered_R(alpha=.10, S2, p2, theta, method="BH")
> head(R_BH)
0% 1% 2% 3% 4% 5%
251 251 253 255 255 261

Because overfiltering (or use of a filter which is inappropriate for the application domain) discards both false and true null hypotheses, very large values of θ reduce power in this example:

```
> plot(theta, R_BH, type="1",
+ xlab=expression(theta), ylab="Rejections",
+ main="BH cutoff = .10"
+ )
```

The plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2.

Session information

- R version 2.13.0 (2011-04-13), x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu
- Base packages: base, datasets, grDevices, graphics, methods, stats, utils

- Other packages: ALL 1.4.7, Biobase 2.12.0, class 7.3-3, genefilter 1.34.0
- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): AnnotationDbi 1.14.0, DBI 0.2-5, RSQLite 0.9-4, annotate 1.30.0, splines 2.13.0, survival 2.36-5, tools 2.13.0, xtable 1.5-6

References

[1] Richard Bourgon, Robert Gentleman and Wolfgang Huber. Independent filtering increases power for detecting differentially expressed genes.